UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,503	05/17/2005	Roger Fransson	10400C-000130/US	5130
30593 7590 02/04/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910			EXAMINER	
			WALDBAUM, SAMUEL A	
RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,503	FRANSSON, ROGER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samuel A. Waldbaum	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divide apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 l</u>	May 2005					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application	,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/02/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness 4. or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Milocco et al (U.S. 5,829,459, hereafter `459) in view of Archer et al (U.S. 5,660,193, hereafter `193) and Nogi et al (U.S. 4,641,671, hereafter `671).

3. Claims 1 and 3: '459 teaches a dishwasher (figure) a dishwashing container (part 2) where the dishwashing container is in communication with the dishwashing chamber (fig., where the top of the container is open to the compartment), with a recirculation rinse tank (part 6, which receives liquid from the dishwashing container) a collecting means (fig. the bottom of the washing compartment is the sloped/inclined collection plate) with an outlet for the dishwashing container (fig). '459 does not teach that multiple containers are located below the washing chamber. `193 is a dishwasher. `193 teaches multiple tanks being stored under the dishwashing compartment (fig. 1, parts 9, 5 and 10). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, meaning that the container can be stored under the wash compartment as taught by `193 in apparatus `'459 to yield the predictable result of housing the recirculation rinse containers under the wash compartment.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have placed the recirculation rinse container under the wash compartment, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

`459 in view of `193 does not teach a rinse tank and does not teach that there are two outlets located in the collecting means. `671 is a dishwasher. `671 teaches a rinse tank (part 13) and that dishwashing container can have multiple outlets (fig. 3, parts 12a and part 35). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, meaning that the dishwasher can have a rinse tank and that the dishwashing container can have multiple outlets as taught by `671 in apparatus `459 in view of `193 to yield the predictable results of having a container for rinse fluid and to have multiple outlets for draining the dishwashing container.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have moved the recirculation rinse chamber inlet and directly connected it

Application/Control Number:

10/516,503

Art Unit: 1792

Page 4

to the wash chamber instead of connecting it to the dishwashing container, since it has been held

that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86

USPQ 70.

Thereby two outlets are created in the collecting plate of apparatus '456, one being the

dishwashing container ('456, part 2), and the second outlet being the recirculation rinse chamber

('456, part 6, for the rinse chamber, where '671 teaches multiple outlets in a container, where

rearranging the recirculation chamber, as seen above, would mover the second outlet from the

dishwashing container to the collection plate of apparatus '456).

Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Milocco et al (U.S. 5,829,459) in view of Archer et al (U.S. 5,660,193) and Nogi et al (U.S.

4,641,671) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of and Mercer (U.S. 3,989,054,

hereafter `054) and Becker (U.S. 5,992,954, hereafter `954).

`459, `193 and `671 teach all the limitations of claim 1 above.

4. Claim 2: See claim 1 above. `456 teaches a spraying means (fig. part 17). `459, `193

and '671 does not teach a frame projecting upwards from the dishwashing chamber and does not

teach that the second hole is located opposite the first hole. `054 is a dishwasher. `054 teaches

that the dishwashing container can have a upper projecting frame (figs. 1-3, parts 146 and 150)

where the frame is used to attach a cover for the dishwashing container (fig. 1-3, col. 4 lines 30 -

69). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to have put a frame projecting upwards from the dishwashing container as taught by

`054 in apparatus `459 in view of `193 and `671 to have used the frame to attach a cover for the

dishwashing container.

Application/Control Number:

10/516,503

Art Unit: 1792

Page 5

`456 in view of `193 and `671 and `054 does not teach that the two outlets are opposite of

each other. '954 is a dishwasher. '954 teaches that two outlets can be opposite from each other

(fig. 4, shows two outlets in the collection plate, the bottom, with one outlet in the center and one

off to the side). All of the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art

could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their

respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, meaning that one outlet can be opposite of

the second outlet as taught by '954 in apparatus '456 in view of '193 and '671 and '054 to have

yield the predictable result of having the outlets for the dishwashing container and the recycling

rinse container to be opposite from each other.

5. Claims 4 and 5: `456 teaches channels for directing fluid to certain locations (figure, the

changer is the sloped bottom directing the fluid into the dishwashing container), and that the

recycling rinsing container is filled to a certain level before the liquid is directed else where for

use (col. 2, line 1-col. 4, line 15). `456 teaches that the sprayer (part 14) is located in part above

the dishwashing container (figure) and in part over the collection plate (figure) where a channel

is created to directed the fluid landing on the plate to the dishwashing container (the channel is

the slopped bottom of the tub, where the slope is inclined towards the dishwashing container,

thus directing the fluid to the container.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Waldbaum whose telephone number is 571-270-1860.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-TR 6:20-3:50, F 6:30-10:30 est.

Application/Control Number:

10/516,503

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 6

supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

saw

/FRANKIE L. STINSON/ Primary Examiner

GROUP ART UNIT 1792